TREG R. TAYLOR

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Ronald W. Opsahl (Alaska Bar No. 2108081)

Assistant Attorney General

Brian E. Gregg (Alaska Bar No. 2107080)

Assistant Attorney General

Alaska Department of Law

1031 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200

Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Telephone: (907) 269-5100 Facsimile: (907) 276-3697

Email: ron.opsahl@alaska.gov brian.gregg@alaska.gov

Attorneys for State of Alaska

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

ALATNA VILLAGE COUNCIL, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THOMAS HEINLEIN, in his official capacity as Acting Alaska State Director for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, et al.,

Defendants,

and

AMBLER METALS, LLC, et al.,

Intervenor-Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-00253-SLG

STATE OF ALASKA'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO ACCEPT OVERLENGTH BRIEF Intervenor-Defendant State of Alaska ("Alaska") opposes Plaintiffs' Motion to

Accept Overlength Brief (Doc. 129) and asks that the motion be denied. An overlength

brief is unnecessary under the circumstances.

Plaintiffs' are responding to the motion filed by Defendant Department of the

Interior (Doc. 117) seeking an order that would remand for reconsideration two decisions

granting rights-of-way to the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority.

In opposing such relief, Plaintiffs devote a substantial portion of their response (Doc. 128)

to discussing extraneous matters, such as preliminary data-gathering activities on State land

and State permits and other authorizations that are not at issue in this case.

Relatedly, Plaintiffs spend much of their response discussing "ongoing harm from

industrial activities," even though limited activities are planned over the next year.¹

This discussion, frankly, is repetitive and largely irrelevant as it fails to focus on the right-

of-way decisions and related activities over which there is federal jurisdiction.

Alaska appreciates that Plaintiffs feel strongly about their arguments, and assures

the Court that it is not trying to prevent Plaintiffs from adequately responding to the

Department of the Interior's motion. But Plaintiffs have not shown that they need

additional words to present their arguments, particularly if they were to eliminate the

repetitive discussion about their alleged injuries and focus more closely on the relief

requested in Interior's remand motion.

. .

. . .

See Plfs.' Motion at 7-10, 20-24.

State of Alaska's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Accept Overlength Brief *Alatna Village Council v. Heinlein*, 3:20-cv-00253-SLG

2

DATED: March 24, 2022.

TREG R. TAYLOR ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: /s/ Ronald W. Opsahl

Ronald W. Opsahl
Alaska Bar No. 2108081
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Brian E. Gregg
Alaska Bar No. 2107080
Assistant Attorney General
Alaska Department of Law
1031 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Telephone: (907) 269-5100
Facsimile: (907) 276-3697
Email: ron.opsahl@alaska.gov
brian.gregg@alaska.gov

Attorneys for State of Alaska

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 24, 2022, I caused copies of the foregoing STATE OF ALASKA'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO ACCEPT OVERLENGTH BRIEF to be served by electronic means on all counsel of record by using the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Leilani J. Tufaga Law Office Assistant II